

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT  
COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT  
OF TENNESSEE WESTERN DIVISION

Pamela Moses and  
T. MOSES (Plaintiff)

# No. 14-02706-SHL-dkv

RECEIVED

APR 30 PM 1:14

CLERK'S OFFICE

VS.

Phyllis Gardner and  
Jane or Jon Doe (Defendants)

Objection to MAGISTRATE'S Order For Appointment  
of Counsel

Comes Now, Pamela Moses by and through herself, gives notice that she objects to the order entered on 4/30/15 by the Magistrate's order. Moses who is the mother of minor child hereby objects to the entirety of the order and states the following reasons as a matter of Law and fact, and Request that the Honorable Judge Cheryl Lynam Review this matter Denoue. Moses states the following reasons and objections.

Moses is not an attorney. Moses is indigent. Moses has been severely affected by the lies and deceptions of attorneys and judges. Courts are suppose to be impartial. All people are created equal. It is not fair for Moses a political prisoner to have no legal assistance, and it is also unfair for her child who's custody was affected by the actions of the defendant be without counsel and unrepresented. His mother states these reasons that entitle T. Moses to appointment of counsel.

Based on information and strong belief, the Defendant has engaged in a pattern of behavior which has conspired and slandered not only the listed Plaintiffs but a large group of people. Based on information and strong belief, Moses filed a motion to Amend the original complaint. This motion to

①

include other protected classes. Mosos and her minor child are African-American, a protected class <sup>members</sup>.

Based on information and strong belief, there are other victims who the defendant has made false accusations against and had them improperly labeled, and slandered, and harassed, by law enforcement. In today's society post-9-11, it is Reverse-terroism for a person who is employed by the government to use her power, prestige, and position in her personal capacity to have people classified as a "sovereign citizen" when they don't even know what that is or have ~~any~~ <sup>NO</sup> beliefs that would even place them in such an offensive category. It is the same thing Hitler did to the jews in Aschwitz. Because they were jewish he labeled them dangerous. This type of behavior is not only unamerican it is unacceptable. Mosos and her child are intelligent African-Americans who like to read books at the law library and utilize the "Free" west law + Lexis databases. Mosos son has been reading since he was 4 yrs. old. If, Mosos wants to teach her child legal items, and teach him the law there is nothing sovereign or illegal about that, in addition just because Mosos is a pro se litigant who utilizes the courts like others that does not make her dangerous, and it does not permit a person who is an attorney or judge to contact "Homeland Security" and tell them to follow these African-Americans because they are in the law-library too much. African-Americans who are poor have the right to read and learn. In fact, Any american has that fundamental right free from profiling and harassment. These slanderous allegations prompted an unsettling fear which caused me to lose my child. It is

(2)

only appropriate that counsel be involved to administer a legal process free from emotions. The Defendant may not have put the Plaintiffs in Aswartz but this is our Holocaust. WE like many others have been improperly labeled as "sovereign citizens". The only knowledge Plaintiff had ever heard about "sovereign citizens" were the man and son who killed a police officer across in West Memphis, <sup>(actual man)</sup> consequently to place a false label on a mother and child who are a part of a protected class not only adds insult to injury but ~~the~~ <sup>Defendant</sup> placed, our life, liberty, and pursuit of happiness in danger, which we have both loss by the Defendants calculated lies and orchestrations. In addition,

Rule 53 Allows Federal Judges to appoint attorneys and Masters. Accordingly, Moses is not capable of litigating this matter. Rule 24 Allows Intervention as a Right for permissible Discretion of the court for question of intervention for law or fact.

42 U.S.C.A. 2000E-5(f)(5) authorizes the appointment of a special master in non-exceptional circumstances when a district court is unable to comply with title VII's mandate to expedite discrimination cases. (*Hackley v. Roubidoux*, CA 1975, F 2d 108, 171)

The National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act establishes an office of Special masters within United States Claims, charged w/assisting the court in dispositions of claims based on vaccine-related injuries or death. 42 U.S.C.A. § 300-12. Timoses Plaintiff has suffered irreparable injuries as well as other <sup>(pending)</sup> Plaintiffs by the Defendants actions. These injuries are premeditated, because when you yell fire in a public building no one is going to say well I don't see flames I don't smell smoke naturally, Everybody is going to run and get out the building. Post-9-11 if you say a "sovereign citizen" and her child are spending too much time

in the Shelby County law library. It is under Section 128 that "Homeland Security" would begin following them. This is the same synopsis, especially when it deals with organize crime and invasion of privacy.

With organize crime and invasion of privacy. Based on Information and belief this is a class Action matter also, because others have been adversely affected by the Defendant's wanton slander and defamation. This matter of appointment of counsel is a dispositive determination which should be delegated to the District Judge. The Defendant has not even answered the complaint without beating a dead horse, the magistrate again exhibits biasness by her last statement when she states "Moses' opinion is premature."

Chances of success are extremely slim"

The magistrate's decision is just that of an analysis of the merits of plaintiff's claim. Most magistrates do that, but for Magistrate Vesco to put an order in that adversely affects the plaintiff is one thing but to use language that demonstrates an opinion of doubt superceeds her authority.

Appropriately, the "court" distinctly makes a distinction between dispositive and non-dispositive motions. Alternatively, the appointment of an attorney or master is integral to the merits of the cause of actions. Therefore it would behoove this honorable court to appoint counsel, and the District Judge has an obligation to operate procedendo.

~~Therefore~~ wherefore as all premises considered Moses request that counsel be appointed for the sake of judicial efficiency.

Respectfully



4/24/15

(over)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and exact  
Copy has been mailed to Defendants  
on this 24<sup>th</sup> day of April 2015

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "J. J. J.", with a long horizontal line extending to the right.